

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
09/915,764	07/27/2001	Karl-Anton Starz	33766W036	7470
7	590 05/05/2004	EXAM	EXAMINER	
SMITH, GAN SUITE 800	MBRELL & RUSSEI	WILLS, MONIQUE M		
1850 M STREET, N.W. WASHINGTON, DC 20036			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			1746	

DATE MAILED: 05/05/2004

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

				A			
		Application No.	Applicant(s)	•			
		09/915,764	STARZ ET AL.				
Office Action	n Summary	Examiner	Art Unit				
		Wills M Monique	1746	<u>.</u> .			
The MAILING DAT Period for Reply	E of this communication ap	pears on the cover sheet w	vith the correspondence addre	:SS			
THE MAILING DATE OF - Extensions of time may be availa after SIX (6) MONTHS from the - If the period for reply specified al - If NO period for reply is specified - Failure to reply within the set or of	above, the maximum statutory period extended period for reply will, by statute later than three months after the mailin	136(a). In no event, however, may a ly within the statutory minimum of thi will apply and will expire SIX (6) MO e, cause the application to become A	reply be timely filed rty (30) days will be considered timely. NTHS from the mailing date of this comm. BANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).	nunication.			
Status							
1)⊠ Responsive to com	nmunication(s) filed on 18 F	ebruary 2004.					
2a) ☐ This action is FINA	` '	s action is non-final.					
3) Since this applicati	on is in condition for allowa	nce except for formal ma	tters, prosecution as to the m	erits is			
closed in accordan	closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.						
Disposition of Claims							
4a) Of the above cl. 5) ☐ Claim(s) is/a 6) ☑ Claim(s) <u>1-8</u> is/are 7) ☐ Claim(s) is/a	rejected.						
Application Papers							
9) ☐ The specification is	objected to by the Examine	er.					
10) The drawing(s) filed	l on is/are: a)∏ acc	cepted or b) objected to	by the Examiner.				
•	quest that any objection to the	•	· <i>,</i>				
<u> </u>		•	g(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR of Office Action or form PTO-	* *			
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 1	19						
a) All b) Some 1. Certified cop 2. Certified cop 3. Copies of the application fi	made of a claim for foreign * c) None of: ies of the priority document ies of the priority document e certified copies of the prior om the International Burea tailed Office action for a list	ts have been received. ts have been received in a rity documents have been u (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	Application No n received in this National Sta	age			
Attachment(s)							
1) Notice of References Cited (F	PTO-892)	4) 🔲 Interview	Summary (PTO-413)				
2) Notice of Draftsperson's Pate	nt Drawing Review (PTO-948) nent(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08)	Paper No	(s)/Mail Date Informal Patent Application (PTO-15	52)			

Art Unit: 1746

DETAILED ACTION

Response to Amendment

This Office Action is responsive to the Amendment filed February 18, 2004. The rejection of claims 1-8 as being anticipated by Mussell et al. U.S. Patent 5,882,810, is overcome. Claims 1-8 have been newly rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(e) as being anticipated by Mizuno et al. U.S. Publication 2001/0024748. Claim 8 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Mizuno et al. as applied to claim1 above, and further in view of Binder et al. U.S. Pub. 2002/0037449.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

(e) the invention was described in (1) an application for patent, published under section 122(b), by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent or (2) a patent granted on an application for patent by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent, except that an international application filed under the treaty defined in section 351(a) shall have the effects for purposes of this subsection of an application filed in the United States only if the international application designated the United States and was published under Article 21(2) of such treaty in the English language.

Claims 1-7 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(e) as being anticipated by Mizuno et al. U.S. Publication 2001/0024748.

With respect to claim 1, Mizuno teaches an ink comprising a catalyst, perfluorosulfonic acid ionomer, water and an organic solvent (\P 11 & 12), the organic solvent is present between 10 and 60 % with respect to water (\P 11). With respect to

Art Unit: 1746

claim 2, the organic solvent is present between 5 and 25% with respect to water (¶11). With respect to claim 5, the organic solvent is a dialcohol including ethylene glycol and propylene glycol (¶ 11). With respect to claims 6 & 7, the inc is coated on a polymer electrolyte membrane assembly (¶ 22). The instant claims are anticipated by the prior art set forth. The limitation in claim 1, with respect to the organic solvent having a flash point higher than 100°C, is considered to be an inherent property of the organic solvents set forth in the prior art, because Mizuno employs the same propylene glycol and ethylene glycol solvents set forth by Applicant. According to Applicant's disclosure on page 5, ethylene glycol has flash point of 111° C and propylene glycol has a flash point between 101 and 131 °C. The limitation in claim 3, with respect to the organic solvent comprising a dihydric alcohol, wherein hydroxyl groups are not adjacent to each other, is considered to be an inherent property of the organic solvent as set forth in the prior art, because Mizuno employs the same ethylene glycol and propylene glycol solvents set forth by Applicant. According to Applicant's disclosure bridging pages 4 and 5, ethylene glycol and propylene glycol are exemplary solvents that have two hydroxyl groups in their linear chain that are not adjacent to each other. The limitation in claim 4, with respect to the alcohol having a chain structure having aliphate -CH₂ groups, is considered to be an inherent property of the organic solvent as set forth in the prior art, because Mizuno employs the same ethylene glycol and propylene glycol solvents set forth by Applicant. According to Applicant's disclosure bridging pages 4 & 5, ethylene glycol and propylene glycol

Art Unit: 1746

have aliphate $-CH_2$ groups. The inherency of the solvent properties necessitated by claims 1 & 3-5 are further supported by the fact that "products of identical chemical composition can not have mutually exclusive properties." A chemical composition and its properties are inseparable. Therefore, if the prior art teaches the identical chemical structure, the properties applicant discloses and/or claims are necessarily present. In re Spada, 911 F.2d 705, 709, 15 USPQ2d 1655, 1658. In the instant case, Muzino teaches identical organic solvents, therefore the properties required by claims 1, & 3-5 are necessarily present.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

Claim 8 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Mizuno et al. as applied to claim1 above, and further in view of Binder et al. U.S. Pub. 2002/0037449.

Mizuno teaches an ink coated on a membrane electrode assembly.

Mizuno is silent to a gas distributor substrate coated with the ink.

Binder teaches that it is conventional to coat ink catalyst on gas distributor layers in order to form membrane electrode units.

Art Unit: 1746

Therefore, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the instant invention was made to coat the catalyst ink of Mizuno on a gas distributor layer, because Binder teaches that it is well known to employ ink catalyst coatings on gas distributor layers in fuel cells.

Response to Arguments

Applicant's arguments, filed February 18, 2004 with respect to the rejection of claims 1-8 have been fully considered and are persuasive. The applicant correctly points out that Mussell is not anticipatory because the reference is silent to linear dialcohols. Mussell employs organic solvents such as dipropylene glycol methyl ether which is not a linear dialcohol. Therefore, the rejection of claims 1-7 as being anticipated by Mussell et al. U.S. Patent 5,882,810, is withdrawn.

Conclusions

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the Examiner should be directed to Monique Wills whose telephone number is

(571) 272-1309. The Examiner can normally be reached on Monday-Friday from 8:30am to 5:00 pm.

Art Unit: 1746

If attempts to reach Examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the Examiner's supervisor, Randy Gulakowski, may be reached at 571-272-1302. The fax phone

number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-

872-9306.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the

Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for

published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR.

Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only.

For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should

you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic

Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

Mw

04/28/04

Bruce F. BELL
PR!MARY EXAMINER
GROUP 1786